## Application No. Applicant(s) 09/778,880 MARIAGGI ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner **Art Unit** Travis B Ribar 1711 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Travis B Ribar. (3) James Seidleck. (2) Jim Ruland. Date of Interview: 29 October 2002. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: . Claim(s) discussed: 1-29.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner and the applicant agree on the definition of claim 20 to overcome the prior rejection under 35 USC 112. The claim will be interpreted to mean that the resin may react with a terminal reactive double bond or a reactive pendant group. The Adembri et al. reference was discussed and applicants will consider presenting evidence that distinguishes the reference from the current appliation.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. q) was not reached. h) N/A.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Identification of prior art discussed: Adembri et al .

Examiner's signature, if required